Service District: Katherine (phase-in date: 1 July 2017) | Support Category: All | All Participants



Service District: Katherine (phase-in date: 1 July 2017) | Support Category: All | All Participants





upport category	Active participants with approved plans	Active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped choice and contri
ore											
Consumables	176	19	9.3	97%	0%	50%	0.2	0.1	47%	31%	82%
Daily Activities	136	33	4.1	89%	5%	21%	10.3	8.8	86%	29%	81%
Community	140	25	5.6	78%	29%	14%	2.4	1.5	62%	27%	81%
Transport	115	9	12.8	100%	0%	0%	0.2	0.2	77%	28%	82%
Core total	190	46	4.1	85%	12%	16%	13.2	10.6	81%	31%	82%
apacity Building											
Choice and Control	128	23	5.6	88%	0%	0%	0.1	0.1	91%	39%	81%
Daily Activities	198	38	5.2	85%	17%	8%	1.8	0.9	51%	31%	82%
Employment	19	3	6.3	100%	0%	0%	0.1	+ 0.0	16%	32%	93%
Relationships	24	5	4.8	100%	0%	0%	0.2	0.1	45%	13%	86%
Social and Civic	53	12	4.4	96%	0%	33%	0.3	0.2	54%	34%	91%
Support Coordination	195	19	10.3	97%	0%	0%	0.8	0.5	64%	31%	82%
Capacity Building total	198	58	3.4	71%	15%	10%	3.4	1.8	54%	31%	82%
apital											
Assistive Technology	72	11	6.5	100%	0%	100%	0.3	+ 0.0	18%	38%	83%
Home Modifications	18	3	6.0	100%	0%	0%	0.1	+ 0.1	57%	11%	82%
Capital total	75	12	6.3	99%	0%	50%	0.4	0.1	27%	36%	84%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	199	82	2.4	78%	11%	17%	16.9	12.6	74%	30%	82%

Note: Only the major support categories are snown.	
Note: Capacity Building total includes Health and We	ellbeing, Home Living and Lifelong Learning although these support categories are not shown.
Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% for the si	ix month exposure period considered, due to the uneven distribution of payments over the duration of a plan. In addition, the utilisation rate for core supports may be above 100% due to fungibility which refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitation.
Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the service district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan.
Active providers	Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period.
Participants per provider	Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active providers.
Provider concentration	Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers.
Provider growth	Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered.
Provider shrinkage	Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered.
Total plan budgets	Velvo of suppose semplified in southings to long for the supposure socied
Total plan budgets	Value of supplies committed in participant plans for the exposure period.
Payments	Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to praticipants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)).
Utilisation	Ratio between payments and total plan budgets.
Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them.
Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control.
That are trained neighbor with encode and control.	1 Application of participation and reported in their most control country and in a 1-bit most control country.
	The green dots indicate the top 10 percentile of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric. In other words, performing relatively well under the given metric.
	The red dots indicate the bottom 10 percentile of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric. In other words, performing relatively poonly under the given metric.
Note: A higher score is considered to be 'good' performa	ance under some metrics. For example, a high utilisation rate is a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need.
For other metrics, a lower score is considered to be	good' performance. For example, a low provider concentration is a sign of a competitive market.

Service District: Katherine (phase-in date: 1 July 2017) | Support Category: All | Participants Receiving SIL/SDA



Service District: Katherine (phase-in date: 1 July 2017) | Support Category: All | Participants Receiving SIL/SDA





upport category	Active participants with approved plans	Active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth		Provider shrinkage		Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped choice and control
ore													
Consumables	29	12	2.4	99%	0%		100%		0.1	+ 0.1	65%	7%	76%
Daily Activities	29	15	1.9	100%	10%		30%	1	6.7	6.5	98%	7%	76%
Community	29	13	2.2	97%	25%		25%	1	0.9	0.6	65%	7%	76%
Transport	29	7	4.1	100%	0%		0%		+ 0.0	+ 0.0	32%	7%	76%
Core total	29	23	1.3	98%	8%	· <u> </u>	23%	<i></i>	7.7	7.2	94%	7%	76%
pacity Building			_			_							
Choice and Control	12	7	1.7	100%	0%		0%	•	0.0	+ 0.0	91%	8%	75%
Daily Activities	29	13	2.2	99%	0%		67%	•	0.3	0.2	58%	7%	76%
Employment	4	2	2.0	100%	0%		0%	•	+ 0.0	0.0	21%	25%	100%
Relationships	7	4	1.8	100%	0%		0%		÷ 0.1	0.1	92%	0%	86%
Social and Civic	4	1	4.0	100%	0%		0%	•	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	80%	0%	100%
Support Coordination	29	7	4.1	100%	0%		0%		0.2	0.1	80%	7%	76%
Capacity Building total	29	23	1.3	82%	13%		13%		0.6	0.4	69%	7%	76%
						-							
pital	19	4	40	4000/	00/		00/		0.1	0.0	0.40/	140	740/
Assistive Technology	19	4	4.8	100%	0%		0%		0.1	0.0	34%	11%	74%
Home Modifications	14	11	14.0	100%	0%	<u> </u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.0	61%	0%	
Capital total	21	5	4.2	100%	0%	_	0%	\rightarrow	0.1	0.1	49%	10%	76%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%		0%		0.0	0.0	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	29	35	0.8	94%	10%	+	24%	\rightarrow	8.4	7.7	91%	7%	76%

Note: Capacity Bulling total land Wellbeing, Home Living and Lifelong Learning although these support categories are not shown.

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% for the six month exposure period considered, due to the uneven distribution of payments over the duration of a plan. In addition, the utilisation rate for core supports may be above 100% due to fungibility which refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support type. Active participants with approved plans Active providers
Participants per provider
Provider concentration
Provider growth
Provider shrinkage Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period.

Number of providers that received payments for supports provided up a natural stress and the number of active participants and the number of active participants and the number of active providers.

Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers.

Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 10% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered to the previous exposure period.

Total plan budgets

Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period.

Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)).

Ratio between payments and total plan budgets. Outcomes indicator on choice and control
Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?

Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them. Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control.

Note: A higher score is considered to be 'good' performance under some metrics. For example, a high utilisation rate is a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need.

For other metrics, a lower score is considered to be 'good' performance. For example, a low provider concentration is a sign of a competitive market.

Service District: Katherine (phase-in date: 1 July 2017) | Support Category: All | Participants Not Receiving SIL/SDA



Service District: Katherine (phase-in date: 1 July 2017) | Support Category: All | Participants Not Receiving SIL/SDA





Support category summary Support category approved plans per provide shrinkage choice and control choice and control? Daily Activities 107 3.7 111 1.5 Capacity Building 116 23 89% 0% 0% 91% 45% 83% **Daily Activities** 85% 18% 18% 0.8 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.4 50% 38% 85% 33% 22% 38% 15 17 49 7.5 8.5 4.1 9.2 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 15% 13% 51% 60% 91% 86% 89% 85% Employment Relationships 100% 100% 0.1 0.1 Social and Civic Capacity Building total 53 10 100% 12% Home Modifications 0.0 24% 0.2 0 0.0 0% 0.0 0.0 0% 0% All support categories Note: Only the major support categories are shown.

Note: Capacity Building total includes Health and Wellbeing, Home Living and Lifelong Learning although these support cate Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% for the six month exposure period considered, due to the uneven distribution of p rt categories are not shown.

on of payments over the duration of a plan. In addition, the utilisation rate for core supports may be above 100% due to fungibility which refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitation.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the service district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan.
Active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period. Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active providers. Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers. Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered. Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered.
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period. Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)). Ratio between payments and total plan budgets.
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them. Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control.
	The green dots indicate the top 10 percentile of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric. In other words, performing relatively well under the given metric. The red dots indicate the bottom 10 percentile of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric. In other words, performing relatively would under the given metric.
	ance under some metrics. For example, a high utilisation rate is a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. 'good' performance. For example, a low provider concentration is a sign of a competitive market.