Participant Category Detailed Dashboard as at 30 June 2021 (exposure period: 1 October 2020 to 31 March 2021)

LGA: Roper Gulf (S) | Support Category: All | All Participants

Participant profile

Please note that the data presented are based on only six months of data and not a full year.



Active participants with an approved plan	
Roper Gulf (S)	81
Northern Territory	3,979
Australia	449,998

This panel shows the distribution of active participants with an approved plan who have each participant characteristic. The figures shown are based on the number of participants as at the end of the exposure period.

Service provider indicators



Active providers	
Roper Gulf (S)	32
Northern Territory	313
Australia	9,865

This panel shows the number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants with each participant characteristic, over the exposure period.



Participants per provider	
Roper Gulf (S)	2.5
Northern Territory	12.7
Australia	45.6

This panel shows the ratio between the number of active participants, and the number of active providers that provided a support, over the exposure period.

Plan utilisation

Total plan budgets ($m)	
Roper Gulf (S)	3.79
Northern Territory	264.29

This panel shows the total value of payments over the exposure period, which includes payments to providers, participants and off-system (in-kind and YPIRAC). Total plan budgets for the exposure period that has not been utilised is also shown.



Plan utilisation	
Roper Gulf (S)	44%
Northern Territory	70%
Relative to state average	*0.63x*

This panel shows plan utilisation over the exposure period, which includes payments to providers, participants and off-system (in-kind and YPIRAC).

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations

Participant Category Detailed Dashboard as at 30 June 2021 (exposure period: 1 October 2020 to 31 March 2021)

LGA: Roper Gulf (S) | Support Category: All | All Participants

Outcomes framework

Outcomes indicator on choice and control



Proportion of participants who reported that they choose who supports them	
Roper Gulf (S)	33%
Northern Territory	42%
Relative to state average	*0.79x*

This panel shows the proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them.

Has the NDIS helped you have more choices and more control over your life?



Proportion of participants who reported that the NDIS has helped with choice and control	
Roper Gulf (S)	57%
Northern Territory	67%
Relative to state average	*0.85x*

This panel shows the proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control.

Support category summary

Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Active providers	Participants per provider	Total plan budgets ($m)	Average plan budget ($)	Payments ($m)	Average payments ($)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core										
Consumables	74	8	9.3	0.1	950	0.0	472	50%	33%	57%
Daily Activities	65	14	4.6	1.4	21,172	1.0	15,135	71%	33%	57%
Community	62	9	6.9	0.7	10,573	0.2	2,742	26%	32%	57%
Transport	48	2	24.0	0.1	1,187	0.0	318	27%	32%	56%
Core total	**78**	**18**	**4.3**	**2.2**	**27,679**	**1.2**	**15,436**	**56%**	**33%**	**57%**
Capacity Building										
Daily Activities	81	15	5.4	0.8	10,436	0.2	2,078	20%	33%	57%
Employment	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Relationships	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Social and Civic	29	2	14.5	0.1	3,988	0.0	489	12%	17%	64%
Support Coordination	81	12	6.8	0.4	5,024	0.2	2,561	51%	33%	57%
Capacity Building total	**81**	**26**	**3.1**	**1.5**	**17,959**	**0.4**	**5,368**	**30%**	**33%**	**57%**
Capital										
Assistive Technology	22	5	4.4	0.2	7,890	0.0	1,814	23%	58%	64%
Home Modifications	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Capital total	**22**	**5**	**4.4**	**0.2**	**7,911**	**0.0**	**1,814**	**23%**	**58%**	**64%**
All support categories	**81**	**32**	**2.5**	**3.8**	**46,761**	**1.7**	**20,725**	**44%**	**33%**	**57%**

Note: Only the major support categories are shown.
Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions

Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the LGA / have supports relating to the support category in their plan.
Active providers	Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the LGA / support category, over the exposure period.
Participants per provider	Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active providers.
Total plan budgets	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period.
Payments	Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)).
Utilisation	Ratio between payments and total plan budgets.
Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them.
Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control.