





Support category summary Support category approved plans Active providers per provide shrinkage choice and control choice and control? Daily Activities 1.864 17.8 28% 84% 2,052 82 18.3 9.3 61.1 Capacity Building Daily Activities 3,064 122 25.1 80% 12% 16% 47% 52% 74% Employment 139 19 93% 0% 33% 0.7 0.6 0.1 55% 46% 66% 56% 0% 11% **9%** • 11% 0% 7% 18% 1.4 0.4 239 223 29 15 8.2 14.9 82% 96% 42% 28% 19% 51% 76% 73% Social and Civic Support Coordination
Capacity Building to 23.1 27% 82% Home Modifications 73% 31% Capital total 11.1 4.2 59% 0 0 0.0 0% 0% 0% 0.0 0.0 0% 0% 0% All support categories 3,157 11.2 88.3 59.4 67% Note: Only the major support categories are shown.

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of partic use their funding flexibl

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the service district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period  Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to providers, payments to providers, payments to providers, payments and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC))  Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
	The green dots indicate the top 10% of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration  The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
Note: For some metrics — 'good' performance is considered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need.  For other metrics, a 'good' performance is considered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.	







Support category summary Support category approved plans choice and control per provide shrinkage choice and control? Daily Activities 143 22% 45% 13.9 14.7 106% 3.6 17.9 Capacity Building 79% 14% 14% 45% 10% 79% Employment 100% 0% 100% 0.0 0.1 0.0 52% 0% 50% 0% 25% • 14 95% 0% 81% **60%** 0.4 59 0 4.2 0.0 0% 0% 36% 0% 8% 0% 71% 0% Social and Civic Home Modifications 19.9 100% 71% Capital total 140 0.6 64% 0 0 0.0 0% 0% 0% 0.0 0.0 0% 0% 0% All support categories 79% 20.5 18.2 89% Note: Only the major support categories are shown. Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fu

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the service district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People in Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
	The green dots indicate the top 10% of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration. The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration.
	red a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need.







Support category summary Support category approved plans per provide shrinkage choice and control choice and control? Daily Activities 1.721 24.6 14.7 43.2 Capacity Building 2,921 120 24.3 81% 14% 47% 56% 74% 0.7 0.5 0.1 1.8 **11.0** Employment 139 19 93% 0% 33% 55% 46% 66% 63% 0% 7% • 13% 0% 11% 19% 6.9 14.9 180 223 26 15 89% 96% 1.0 0.4 45% 28% 26% 51% 79% 73% Social and Civic 2.8 **21.4** 27% 75% 55% 82% Home Modifications 98% 76% Capital total 9.9 58% 0 0 0.0 0% 0% 0% 0.0 0.0 0% 0% 0% All support categories 11.3 67.8 41.3 61% 3,013 Note: Only the major support categories are shown. Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fu

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the service district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 10% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric — in other words — performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric — in other words — performing relatively well under the metric under consideration
	red a ligher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need.