

LGA: Meander Valley (M) | Support Category: All | All Participants

Distribution of active participants with an approved plan

by age group

Age Group	Meander Valley (M)	Tasmania
0 to 6	~15%	~12%
7 to 14	~25%	~22%
15 to 24	~25%	~22%
25 plus	~35%	~45%

by primary disability

Primary Disability	Meander Valley (M)	Tasmania
Autism	~35%	~30%
Developmental Delay and Global Developmental Delay	~5%	~5%
Intellectual Disability and Down Syndrome	~25%	~25%
Psychosocial disability	10 or fewer participants	~5%
Other disabilities	~25%	~25%

by level of function

Level of Function	Meander Valley (M)	Tasmania
High	~20%	~25%
Medium	~45%	~45%
Low	~30%	~30%

by remoteness rating

Remoteness Rating	Meander Valley (M)	Tasmania
Major Cities	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Regional	~95%	~95%
Remote/Very remote	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

by Indigenous status

Indigenous Status	Meander Valley (M)	Tasmania
Indigenous	~5%	~8%
Non-Indigenous	~70%	~70%
Not stated	~25%	~20%
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

by CALD status

CALD Status	Meander Valley (M)	Tasmania
CALD	10 or fewer participants	~2%
Non-CALD	~95%	~95%
Not stated	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

Active participants with an approved plan

Location	Count
Meander Valley (M)	287
Tasmania	8,343
Australia	364,879

This panel shows the distribution of active participants with an approved plan who have each participant characteristic. The figures shown are based on the number of participants as at the end of the exposure period.

Number of registered and active providers that provided supports in a category by age group

Age Group	Meander Valley (M)
0 to 6	~10
7 to 14	~35
15 to 24	~45
25 plus	~85

Number of registered and active providers that provided supports in a category by primary disability

Primary Disability	Meander Valley (M)
Autism	~55
Developmental Delay and Global Developmental Delay	~5
Intellectual Disability and Down Syndrome	~55
Psychosocial disability	10 or fewer participants
Other disabilities	~55

Number of registered and active providers that provided supports in a category by level of function

Level of Function	Meander Valley (M)
High	~25
Medium	~75
Low	~85

Number of registered and active providers that provided supports in a category by remoteness rating

Remoteness Rating	Meander Valley (M)
Major Cities	10 or fewer participants
Regional	~115
Remote/Very remote	10 or fewer participants
Missing	10 or fewer participants

Number of registered and active providers that provided supports in a category by Indigenous status

Indigenous Status	Meander Valley (M)
Indigenous	~20
Non-Indigenous	~100
Not stated	~45
Missing	10 or fewer participants

Number of registered and active providers that provided supports in a category by CALD status

CALD Status	Meander Valley (M)
CALD	10 or fewer participants
Non-CALD	~105
Not stated	10 or fewer participants
Missing	10 or fewer participants

Registered active service providers

Location	Registered active service providers
Meander Valley (M)	109
Tasmania	575
Australia	10,740

Panel description: This panel shows the number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant with each participant characteristic, over the exposure period.

Payments and total plan budget not utilised (\$m) by age group

by primary disability

by level of function

by remoteness rating

by Indigenous status

by CALD status

■ Total payments (\$m) ■ Plan budget not utilised (\$m)

Total plan budgets (\$m)	
Meander Valley (M)	10.05
Tasmania	323.08


Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations

Participant Category Detailed Dashboard as at 30 June 2020 (exposure period: 1 October 2019 to 31 March 2020)

LGA: Meander Valley (M) | Support Category: All | All Participants

Outcomes framework



Support category summary

Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Average plan budget (\$)	Payments (\$m)	Average payments (\$)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core										
Consumables	259	17	15.2	0.20	759	0.12	458	60%	64%	61%
Daily Activities	258	31	8.3	5.20	20,136	4.24	16,437	82%	64%	61%
Community	256	31	8.3	2.10	8,190	1.37	5,335	65%	63%	60%
Transport	247	7	35.3	0.17	700	0.16	648	92%	62%	60%
Core total	269	53	5.1	7.66	28,481	5.89	21,878	77%	63%	60%
Capacity Building										
Daily Activities	269	49	5.5	1.12	4,172	0.56	2,066	50%	62%	56%
Employment	19	6	3.2	0.11	5,553	0.07	3,909	70%	69%	67%
Social and Civic	67	13	5.2	0.23	3,381	0.05	756	22%	55%	45%
Support Coordination	109	25	4.4	0.21	1,902	0.15	1,377	72%	58%	69%
Capacity Building total	278	74	3.8	1.88	6,748	0.93	3,345	50%	63%	56%
Capital										
Assistive Technology	72	15	4.8	0.35	4,842	0.30	4,165	86%	71%	61%
Home Modifications	25	4	6.3	0.16	6,580	0.10	4,035	61%	58%	67%
Capital total	82	17	4.8	0.51	6,258	0.40	4,887	78%	68%	63%
All support categories	287	109	2.6	10.05	35,019	7.22	25,142	72%	64%	56%

Note: Only the major support categories are shown.
Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the LGA / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the LGA / support category, over the exposure period
Participants per provider	Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers
Total plan budgets	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period
Payments	Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC))
Utilisation	Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them
Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control