

LGA: Alice Springs (T) | Support Category: All | All Participants

Distribution of active participants with an approved plan

by age group

Age Group	Alice Springs (T)	Northern Territory
0 to 6	~15%	~25%
7 to 14	~15%	~25%
15 to 24	~15%	~15%
25 plus	~65%	~45%

by primary disability

Primary Disability	Alice Springs (T)	Northern Territory
Autism	~15%	~25%
Developmental Delay and Global Developmental Delay	10 or fewer participants	~10%
Intellectual Disability and Down Syndrome	~25%	~20%
Psychosocial disability	~10%	~5%
Other disabilities	~55%	~35%

by level of function

Level of Function	Alice Springs (T)	Northern Territory
High	~25%	~30%
Medium	~45%	~45%
Low	~35%	~30%

by remoteness rating

Remoteness Rating	Alice Springs (T)	Northern Territory
Major Cities	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Regional	10 or fewer participants	~60%
Remote/Very remote	~100%	~40%
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

by Indigenous status

Indigenous Status	Alice Springs (T)	Northern Territory
Indigenous	~65%	~50%
Non-Indigenous	~35%	~45%
Not stated	~5%	~8%
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

by CALD status

CALD Status	Alice Springs (T)	Northern Territory
CALD	~25%	~30%
Non-CALD	~80%	~75%
Not stated	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

Active participants with an approved plan

Alice Springs (T)	381
Northern Territory	3,052
Australia	364,879

Note: This panel shows the distribution of active participants with an approved plan who have each participant characteristic. The figures shown are based on the number of participants as at the end of the exposure period.

Number of registered and active providers that provided supports in a category by age group

Age Group	Alice Springs (T)
0 to 6	30
7 to 14	35
15 to 24	50
25 plus	75

Number of registered and active providers that provided supports in a category by primary disability

Primary Disability	Alice Springs (T)
Autism	30
Developmental Delay and Global Developmental Delay	10 or fewer participants
Intellectual Disability and Down Syndrome	45
Psychosocial disability	20
Other disabilities	55

Number of registered and active providers that provided supports in a category by level of function

Level of Function	Alice Springs (T)
High	60
Medium	70
Low	60

Number of registered and active providers that provided supports in a category by remoteness rating

Remoteness Rating	Alice Springs (T)
Major Cities	10 or fewer participants
Regional	10 or fewer participants
Remote/Very remote	100
Missing	10 or fewer participants

Number of registered and active providers that provided supports in a category by Indigenous status

Indigenous Status	Alice Springs (T)
Indigenous	85
Non-Indigenous	60
Not stated	20
Missing	10 or fewer participants

Number of registered and active providers that provided supports in a category by CALD status

CALD Status	Alice Springs (T)
CALD	60
Non-CALD	90
Not stated	10 or fewer participants
Missing	10 or fewer participants

Registered active service providers

Location	Registered active service providers
Alice Springs (T)	102
Northern Territory	305
Australia	10,740

This panel shows the number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant with each participant characteristic, over the exposure period.

Payments and total plan budget not utilised (\$m)

by age group

by primary disability

by level of function

by remoteness rating

by Indigenous status

by CALD status

■ Total payments (\$m) ■ Plan budget not utilised (\$m)

Total plan budgets (\$m)	
Alice Springs (T)	44.11
Northern Territory	194.38


This panel shows the total value of payments over the exposure period, which includes payments to providers, participants and off-system (n-kind and YPIRAC). Total plan budgets for the exposure period that has not been utilised is also shown

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations

Participant Category Detailed Dashboard as at 30 June 2020 (exposure period: 1 October 2019 to 31 March 2020)

LGA: Alice Springs (T) | Support Category: All | All Participants

Outcomes framework



Support category summary

Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Average plan budget (\$)	Payments (\$m)	Average payments (\$)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core										
Consumables	374	37	10.1	0.44	1,189	0.16	420	35%	33%	63%
Daily Activities	373	25	14.9	29.25	78,422	25.19	67,528	86%	33%	63%
Community	372	24	15.5	6.54	17,583	3.15	8,478	48%	33%	63%
Transport	365	5	73.0	0.42	1,154	0.34	933	81%	33%	63%
Core total	374	60	6.2	36.66	98,016	28.84	77,111	79%	33%	63%
Capacity Building										
Daily Activities	379	39	9.7	2.74	7,222	0.98	2,576	36%	33%	63%
Employment	43	4	10.8	0.21	4,976	0.11	2,551	51%	21%	64%
Social and Civic	116	8	14.5	0.56	4,828	0.05	457	9%	31%	53%
Support Coordination	370	27	13.7	1.69	4,567	1.14	3,080	67%	33%	63%
Capacity Building total	380	64	5.9	6.14	16,161	2.71	7,122	44%	33%	63%
Capital										
Assistive Technology	162	23	7.0	0.89	5,499	0.29	1,794	33%	45%	71%
Home Modifications	52	3	17.3	0.42	8,115	0.02	316	4%	18%	59%
Capital total	178	24	7.4	1.31	7,376	0.31	1,725	23%	40%	64%
All support categories	381	102	3.7	44.11	115,780	31.85	83,604	72%	33%	63%

Note: Only the major support categories are shown.
Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the LGA / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the LGA / support category, over the exposure period
Participants per provider	Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers
Total plan budgets	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period
Payments	Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC))
Utilisation	Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them
Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control