NDIS Families and Carers Outcomes

Appendix C Families and Carers of participants aged 25 and over

June 2019

Contents


Appendix C.1 - Baseline indicators for SF and LF - aggregate	4
Appendix C.1.1 - Government benefits	4
Appendix C.1.2 - Rights and advocacy	4
Appendix C.1.3 - Families feel supported	5
Appendix C.1.4 - Access to services	6
Appendix C.1.5 - Families have succession plans	7
Appendix C.1.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing	7
Appendix C.2 - Baseline indicators for SF and LF - participant characteristics	9
Appendix C.2.1 - Government benefits	9
Baseline indicators for SF - participant characteristics	9
Appendix C.2.2 - Rights and advocacy	11
Baseline indicators for SF - participant characteristics	11
Appendix C.2.2 - Rights and advocacy	14
Baseline indicators for LF - participant characteristics	14
Appendix C.2.3 - Families feel supported	17
Baseline indicators for SF - participant characteristics	17
Appendix C.2.3 - Families feel supported	19
Baseline indicators for LF - participant characteristics	19
Appendix C.2.4 - Access to services	22
Baseline indicators for SF - participant characteristics	22
Appendix C.2.4 - Access to services	24
Baseline indicators for LF - participant characteristics	24
Appendix C.2.5 - Families have succession plans	27
Baseline indicators for SF - participant characteristics	27
Appendix C.2.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing	29
Baseline indicators for SF - participant characteristics	29
Appendix C.2.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing	35
Baseline indicators for LF - participant characteristics	35
Appendix C.3 - Longitudinal indicators for SF and LF from baseline to 1st review - aggregate	38
Appendix C.3.1 - Government benefits	38
Appendix C.3.2 - Rights and advocacy	38
Appendix C.3.3 - Families feel supported	39
Appendix C.3.4 - Access to services	40
Appendix C.3.5 - Families have succession plans	41
Appendix C.3.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing	41
Appendix C.4 - Longitudinal change in indicators for SF and LF from baseline to 1st review - participant characteristics	43
Appendix C.4.1 - Government benefits	43
Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 1st review - participant characteris	tics43
Appendix C.4.2 - Rights and advocacy	45
Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 1st review - participant characteris	tics45
Appendix C.4.2 - Rights and advocacy	48

Longitudinal change in indicators for LF from baseline to 1st review - participant characterist	ics 48
Appendix C.4.3 - Families feel supported	51
Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 1st review - participant characterist	ics51
Appendix C.4.3 - Families feel supported	54
Longitudinal change in indicators for LF from baseline to 1st review - participant characterist	ics 54
Appendix C.4.4 - Access to services	56
Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 1st review - participant characterist	ics56
Appendix C.4.4 - Access to services	59
Longitudinal change in indicators for LF from baseline to 1st review - participant characterist	ics 59
Appendix C.4.5 - Families have succession plans	61
Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 1st review - participant characterist	ics61
Appendix C.4.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing	64
Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 1st review - participant characterist	ics64
Appendix C.4.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing	70
Longitudinal change in indicators for LF from baseline to 1st review - participant characterist	ics 70
Appendix C.5 - Longitudinal indicators for SF and LF from baseline to 2nd review - aggregate	74
Appendix C.5.1 - Government benefits	74
Appendix C.5.2 - Rights and advocacy	74
Appendix C.5.3 - Families feel supported	75
Appendix C.5.4 - Access to services	76
Appendix C.5.5 - Families have succession plans	
Appendix C.5.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing	77
Appendix C.6 - Longitudinal change in indicators for SF and LF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics	79
Appendix C.6.1 - Government benefits	
Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteris	
Appendix C.6.2 - Rights and advocacy	81
Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteris	
Appendix C.6.2 - Rights and advocacy	
Longitudinal change in indicators for LF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteris	
Appendix C.6.3 - Families feel supported	83
Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteris	stics
Appendix C.6.3 - Families feel supported	
Longitudinal change in indicators for LF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteris	
Appendix C.6.4 - Access to services	86
Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteris	
Appendix C.6.4 - Access to services	
Longitudinal change in indicators for LF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteris	
	00


Appendix C.6.5 - Families have succession plans	
Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant charac	
Appendix C.6.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing	
Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant charac	teristics
Appendix C.6.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing	
Longitudinal change in indicators for LF from baseline to 2nd review - participant charac	
Appendix C.7 - Has the NDIS helped? questions	
Aggregate summary at 1st review	
Appendix C.8 - Has the NDIS helped? indicators for SF and LF at 1st review - participant characteristics	
Appendix C.8.1 - All domains	
Has The NDIS Helped? indicators for SF at 1st review - participant characteristics	
Appendix C.8.1 - All domains	100
Has The NDIS Helped? indicators for LF at 1st review - participant characteristics	100
Appendix C.9 - Has the NDIS helped? questions	103
Aggregate summary at 2nd review	103
Appendix C.10 - Has the NDIS helped? indicators for SF and LF at 2nd review - participant characteristics	104
Appendix C.10.1 - All domains	104
Has The NDIS Helped? indicators for SF at 2nd review - participant characteristics	104
Appendix C.10.1 - All domains	108
Has The NDIS Helped? indicators for LF at 2nd review - participant characteristics	108

Appendix C.1 - Baseline indicators for SF and LF - aggregate


Appendix C.1.1 - Government benefits




Appendix C.1.2 - Rights and advocacy



I understand my rights and the rights of my family member with disability





I am able to advocate (stand up) for my family member: I am able to speak up if we have issues or problems with accessing supports



27104 responses; 1363 missing


4






28467 responses; 0 missing


I have people who I can ask to support my family member with disability as often as I



I have people who I can ask for emotional support as often as I need



28467 responses; 0 missing



0%

I have as much contact with other families of people with disability as I would like

1641 responses; 1 missing

No

Yes


60% -40% -20% -0% -Yes Not very much Not at all

I feel that the services my family member with


disability and my family use listen to me

26337 responses; 2130 missing

I feel in control in selecting services and supports that meet the needs of my family member with disability and my family





The services my family member with disability and my family receive meet our needs




28467 responses; 0 missing


I work in partnership with professionals and service providers to meet the needs of my family member with disability
















In general, my health is

Thinking about what happened last year and what I expect for the future, I feel




I feel that having a family member with a disability has made it more difficult for me to meet the everyday costs of living




1641 responses; 1 missing


I feel more confident about the future of my family member with disability under the NDIS




1641 responses; 1 missing




Appendix C.1.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing (continued)




Family who provide informal care to my family member with disability are able to work as





The barriers to working more are (choose one or more)






Appendix C.2 - Baseline indicators for SF and LF - participant characteristics

Appendix C.2.1 - Government benefits

Baseline indicators for SF - participant characteristics



Disability Type

ABI - 2154	24%
Autism - 1888	25%
Cerebral Palsy - 1393	29%
Down Syndrome – 1574	29%
Hearing Impairment - 870	— 11%
Intellectual Disability - 8196	23%
Multiple Sclerosis - 1307	27%
Other -1 102	24%
Other Neurological - 3075	26%
Other Physical - 2013	27%
Psychosocial – 3149	— 17 %
Spinal Cord Injury – 8605	23%
Stroke - 1118	27%
Visual Impairment – 1023	22%





36% 42% 45% 52%

 13%

 38%

 41%

 33%

 34%


 26%

 38%

 36%


 35%

Appendix C.2.1 - Government benefits





Appendix C.2.1 - Government benefits

Baseline indicators for SF - participant characteristics (continued)



Appendix C.2.2 - Rights and advocacy






Total respondents	% of families or carers who are able to identify the needs of their family and family member with disability and know how to access available services and supports to meet these needs	% of families or carers who are able to advocate (stand up) for their family member with disability
State/ Territory ACT - 1 177 NSW - 7054 NT - 333 QLD - 5884 SA - 3206 TAS - 830 VIC - 9220 WA - 1761	43% 42% 33% 53% 57% 42% 42% 42% 61%	66% 64% 46% 75% 75% 66% 63% 74%
Remoteness		
Major Cities - 18267	45%	65%
Regional (population – 3023 greater than 50000)	50%	71%
Regional (population between 15000 and 50000) - 2748	51%	74%
Regional (population between 5000 and 15000)	51%	75%
Regional (population 2452	52%	76%
Remote/Very Remote - 470	46%	60%
Scheme Access Criteria		
Benefit from EI – 626	42%	69%
Disability Met - 27680	47%	68%
Scheme Entry Type		
New – 8242	43%	66%
State – 17007 Commonwealth – 3218	49%	69%
Commonwealth - 3210	¥/ 70	60%
Plan management type		
Fully agency-managed - 14030	47%	67%
Plan-managed/ Agency-managed	42%	64%
Fully self-managed - 2097	61%	83%
Partiy self-managed - 2641	54%	76%


Baseline indicators for SF - participant characteristics (continued)

Total respondents	% of families or carers who are able to identify the needs of their family and family member with disability and know how to access available services and supports to meet these needs	% of families or carers who are able to advocate (stand up) for their family member with disability
Annualised cost of plan		
\$15,000 or less - 2657	55%	73%
\$15-30,000 - 4382	51%	71%
\$30-50,000 - 5672	45%	68%
\$50-100,000 - 7809	44%	66%
Over \$100,000 - 7947	47%	66%
Plan cost allocation		
Capital 5-100% – 4875	49%	70%
Capacity Building 0-15% – 11294	47%	67%
Capacity Building 15-30% – 6072	42%	65%
Capacity Building 30-60% – 4483	47%	69%
Capacity Building 60-100% - 📕 1726	56%	76%

Appendix C.2.2 - Rights and advocacy


Baseline indicators for LF - participant characteristics





Baseline indicators for LF - participant characteristics (continued)

Total respondents	% who have no difficulties understanding their rights and the rights of their family member with disability
Remoteness	
Major Cities - 939	77%
Decienal (new lation	
greater than 50000) - 200	74%
Regional (population - 246 between 15000 and 50000)	81%
Regional (population - 108 between 5000 and 15000)	81%
Regional (population less than 5000) & -	77%
Remote/Very Remote	
Scheme Access Criteria	
Benefit from EI – 34	79%
Disability Met - 1599	77%
Scheme Entry Type	
New - 436	79%
State - 913	76%
Commonwealth - 293	79%
Plan management type	
Fully agency-managed - 1063	77%
Plan-managed/ - 341 Agency-managed -	73%
Fully self-managed - 97	80%
Partly self-managed - 126	86%
Annualised cost of plan \$15,000 or less - 148	86%
\$15-30,000 - 290	77%
\$30-50,000 - 270	74%
\$50-100,000 - 340 Over \$100,000 - 594	78%
344 \$100,000 - 354	7070
Plan cost allocation	
Capital 5-100% - 315	80%
Capacity Building 0-15% – 573 Capacity Building 15-30% – 272	75% 76%
Capacity Building 13-30% - 272 Capacity Building 30-60% - 299	76%
Capacity Building 60-100% - 168	83%







Baseline indicators for SF - participant characteristics (continued)





Appendix C.2.3 - Families feel supported


Baseline indicators for LF - participant characteristics












Baseline indicators for SF - participant characteristics (continued)

Total respondents	% of families or carers who feel that the services they use for their family member with disability listen to them	% of families or carers who feel in control selecting the services and supports for their family member with disability	% of families or carers who say that the services their family member with disability and their family receive meet their needs
Plan management type			
Fully agency-managed - 14030	69%	42%	24%
Plan-managed/ _ 9678	66%	35%	14%
Fully self-managed - 2097	70%	55%	19%
Partly self-managed - 2641	71%	48%	21%
Annualised cost of plan			
\$15,000 or less - 2657	72%	50%	27%
\$15-30,000 - 4382	70%	45%	23%
\$30-50,000 - 5672	68%	40%	19 %
\$50-100,000 - 7809	67%	38%	— 16%
Over \$100,000 – 7947	69%	40%	20%
Plan cost allocation			
Capital 5-100% – 4875	69%	43%	20%
Capacity Building 0-15% – 11294	69%	41%	20%
Capacity Building 15-30% – 6072	65%	36%	14%
Capacity Building 30-60% – 4483	71%	42%	21%
Capacity Building 60-100% - 1726	74%	51%	33%

Appendix C.2.4 - Access to services


Baseline indicators for LF - participant characteristics




Total respondents	% who work in partnership with professionals and service providers to meet the needs of their family member with disability without difficulties	% whose family member with disability and family receive help to plan for the future
Age Group 34 or younger – 400	74%	62%
35 to 44 – 372 45 to 54 – 389	74%	62% 65%
55 or over – 481	80%	65%
Gender		
Female – 673	79%	67%
Male - 941	76%	61%
Disability Type		
ABI/Stroke - 166	75%	63%
Autism - 🚺 115 Cerebral Palsy & _ 💶 264	76%	58%
Cerebral Parsy & 264 Other Neurological - 264 Hearing and - 42	81%	64%
Intellectual Disability & 659	74%	67%
Down Syndrome Multiple Sclerosis/ Spinal Cord Injury - 105	82%	
Other Physical, Other -	72%	51%
Psychosocial -	67%	59%
Visual Impairment – 39	72%	72%
Level of function		
High – 📕 170	71%	67%
Medium - 810	76%	61%
Low - 648	80%	67%
CALD Status		
CALD - 117	66%	54%
Non-CALD - 1505	78%	64%
State/ Territory		
ACT- 65	63%	44%
NSW - 605 QLD - 314	77%	64% 62%
SA - 190	7 8%	60%
SA/NT/WA - 92 VIC - 376	77% 81%	

Total respondents	% who work in % whose family partnership with member with professionals and disability and family service providers to meet the needs of for the future their family member with disability without difficulties
Remoteness	
Major Cities - 93	9 74% 61%
Regional (population greater than 50000) - 200	81% 63%
Regional (population - 246 between 15000 and 50000)	83% 69%
Regional (population - 108 between 5000 and 15000)	84% 73%
Regional (population less than 5000) & - 149 Remote/Very Remote	79% 66%
Scheme Access Criteria Benefit from EI – 34	76% 65%
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1599 77% 64%
Scheme Entry Type	
New - 436 State - 91	74% 60% 3 80% 68%
Commonwealth – 293	72% 55%
Plan management type	
Dian managed/	063 76% 63%
Agency-managed - 341 Fully self-managed - 97	78% 64%
Partly self-managed - 126	79% 79% 70%
Annualised cost of plan	
\$15,000 or less - 148	80% 66%
\$15-30,000 – 290 \$30-50,000 – 270	72% 61% 68% 53%
\$50-100,000 - 340	77% 59%
Over \$100,000 – 594	83% 72%
Plan cost allocation	
Capital 5-100% – 315	77% 67% 82% 68%
Capacity Building 0-15% – 273 Capacity Building 15-30% – 272	82% 68%
Capacity Building 30-60% - 299	71% 59%
Capacity Building 60-100% - 📕 168	76% 58%

Appendix C.2.5 - Families have succession plans



Appendix C.2.5 - Families have succession plans





Appendix C.2.5 - Families have succession plans


Baseline indicators for SF - participant characteristics (continued)

Total respondents	% of families or carers who have made plans for when they are no longer able to care for their family member with disability	families or carers
Plan management type		
Fully agency-managed - 14030	14 %	59%
Plan-managed/ Agency-managed	11%	65%
Fully self-managed - 2097	10%	50%
Partly self-managed - 2641	10%	62%
Annualised cost of plan \$15,000 or less - 2657 \$15-30,000 - 4382 \$30-50,000 - 5672 \$50-100,000 - 7809 Over \$100,000 - 7947	 11% 11% 11% 10% 17% 	50% 47% 52% 57% 75%
Plan cost allocation Capital 5-100% - 4875 Capacity Building 0-15% - Capacity Building 15-30% - Capacity Building 30-60% - Capacity Building 60-100% -	 12% 14% 9% 11% 14% 	65% 66% 58% 52% 41%


Appendix C.2.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing










Baseline indicators for SF - participant characteristics (continued)




Appendix C.2.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing








Baseline indicators for LF - participant characteristics




Baseline indicators for LF - participant characteristics (continued)



Baseline indicators for LF - participant characteristics (continued)

Total respondents	thinking about what happened last year, and what they expect for the future, % who are delighted, pleased or mostly satisfied	strongly disagree that having a family member with a	% who strongly agree or agree that they feel more confident about the future of their family with disability under the NDIS	
Annualised cost of plan				
\$15,000 or less - 148	59%	26%	52%	42%
\$15-30,000 - 290	49%	26%	50%	43%
\$30-50,000 - 270	43%	21%	50%	41%
\$50-100,000 - 340	38%	18 %	49%	44%
Over \$100,000 - 594	48%	27%	44%	59%
Plan cost allocation				
Capital 5-100% - 315	49%	25%	50%	50%
Capacity Building 0-15% - 573	48%	26%	46%	58%
Capacity Building 15-30% - 272	39%	— 15%	49%	43%
Capacity Building 30-60% - 299	46%	23%	48%	41%
Capacity Building 60-100% -	51%	29%	50%	38%


Appendix C.3 - Longitudinal indicators for SF and LF from baseline to 1st review - aggregate




Appendix C.3.1 - Government benefits

Appendix C.3.2 - Rights and advocacy


I am able to identify the needs of my family member with disability and my family and know how to access available services and supports to meet those needs





I understand my rights and the rights of my family member with disability



I am able to advocate (stand up) for my family member: I am able to speak up if we have issues or problems with accessing supports









I have people who I can ask for practical help

I have people who I can ask to support my family member with disability as often as I




5094 responses, 0 missing


I have people who I can ask for emotional support as often as I need




I have as much contact with other families of people with disability as I would like




I feel that the services my family member with disability and my family use listen to me



I feel in control in selecting services and supports that meet the needs of my family member with disability and my family




I work in partnership with professionals and service providers to meet the needs of my family member with disability




354 responses, 0 missing


The services my family member with disability and my family receive meet our needs



The services my family member with disability and my family receive help us to plan for the future




Appendix C.3.5 - Families have succession plans




Appendix C.3.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing

In general, my health is 39% 38% 40% 28% 29% 30% 16% |4% 13% 15% 20% 10% 4% 4% 0% Excellent Very good Fair Poor Good Baseline 1st review 5021 responses, 73 missing

I feel that having a family member with a disability has made it more difficult for me to meet the everyday costs of living






Thinking about what happened last year and what I expect for the future, I feel

Appendix C.3.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing (continued)


family member with disability under the NDIS 57% 50% 36º 40% 30% ŝ 20% 5% 10% 0% Strongly Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly agree disagree Baseline 1st review 354 responses, 0 missing

I feel more confident about the future of my

I feel that my family member gets the support he/she needs




Family who provide informal care to my family member with disability are able to work as much as they want




⁴⁷⁵⁰ responses, 344 missing

I feel that the services and supports have helped me to better care for my family member with disability




354 responses, 0 missing



The barriers to working more are (choose one


Appendix C.4 - Longitudinal change in indicators for SF and LF from baseline to 1st review - participant characteristics

Appendix C.4.1 - Government benefits



Appendix C.4.1 - Government benefits

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 1st review - participant characteristics (continued)



State/ Territory

ACT –	30	-5% 🔳	-9% 🔳
NSW-	2527	0%	∎ 4%
NT –	32	19%	5%
QLD -	620	1%	∎ 4%
SA –	607	2%	6%
TAS -	1 69	2%	I 3%
VIC -	2043	0%	∎ 4%
WA –	30	0%	5%

Remoteness

Major Cities -	3424	0%	5%
Regional - population - 530 greater than 50000 - 530	I	1%	6%
Regional - population - 836 between 15000 and 50000		1%	3%
Regional - population - 421 between 5000 and 15000	I	1%	1%
Regional - population - 671 less than 5000 - 671	I	1%	5%
Remote/Very Remote - 75	1	2%	7%

Scheme Access Criteria

Benefit from EI – 94	1%	6%
Disability Met –	5842 0%	4%

Scheme Entry Type

New - 1363		1%	5%
State -	3800	1%	4%
Commonwealth - 795		-1%	3%

Plan management type


Fully agency-managed -	3305	1%	4%
Plan-managed/ Agency-managed	1741	1%	4%
Fully self-managed - 📕 341		-2%	6%
Partly self-managed - 📕 569		1%	5%

Appendix C.4.1 - Government benefits

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 1st review - participant characteristics (continued)

Total respondents	% of families or carers who are receiving carer payments	% of families or carers who are receiving carer allowance
Annualised cost of plan		
\$15,000 or less – 📕 356	-2%	1%
\$15-30,000 - 1036	-1%	4%
\$30-50,000 - 1287	1%	5%
\$50-100,000 - 1843	1%	4%
Over \$100,000 - 1436	2%	5%
Plan cost allocation Capital 5-100% - Capacity Building 0-15% - Capacity Building 15-30% - Capacity Building 30-60% - Capacity Building 60-100% -	1% 1% 2% -2% -2%	4% 4% 5% 4% 2%
Plan utilisation		
below 20% – 906	0%	3%
20 - 40% - 1352	1%	4%
40 - 60% - 1597	0%	4%
60 - 80% - 1331	0%	5%
80% and over – 770	1%	6%

Appendix C.4.2 - Rights and advocacy



Total r	espondents	% of families or carers who are able to identify the needs of their family and family member with disability and know how to access available services and supports to meet these needs	up) for their family
Age Group			
34 or younger –	1868	-1%	-1%
35 to 44 -	1320	-3%	-2%
45 to 54 –	1282	-1%	-2%
55 or over –	1488	-1%	-1%
Gender			
Female –	2541	-2%	-1%
Male –	3345	-1%	-1%
Disability Type			
ABI/Stroke –	704	-1%	-1%
Autism –	460	0%	-1%
Cerebral Palsy & _ Other Neurological	893	-2%	-2%
Intellectual Disability & _ Down Syndrome	2363	-2%	-1%
Other –	1538	0%	-1%
Level of function			
	598	-1%	0%
Medium –		-1%	-2%
Low –		-2%	-1%
CALD Status			
CALD -	696	-3%	-4%
Non-CALD -	5	261 -1%	-1%

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 1st review - participant characteristics (continued)

Total respondents	% of families or carers who are able to identify the needs of their family and family member with disability and know how to access available services and supports to meet these needs	
-------------------	--	--

State/ Territory

ACT -	30		-5% 🔳	-5% 📕
NSW-		2527	0%	0%
NT –	32		0%	-5% 🔳
QLD -	620		0%	0%
SA –	607		-3% I	-1%
TAS -	1 69		∎ 5%	5%
VIC -		2043	-3% 🛛	-3%
WA –	30		-5% 🔳	-10% 🔳

Remoteness

Major Cities –		3424	-3%	-3%
Regional - population _ greater than 50000	530		1%	1%
Regional - population _ between 15000 and 50000	836		2%	2%
Regional - population _ between 5000 and 15000	421		-1%	1%
Regional - population _ less than 5000	671		-2%	1%
Remote/Very Remote -	75		-5%	-2%

Scheme Access Criteria

Benefit from EI –	94		-4%	-5%
Disability Met –	5	842	-1%	-1%

Scheme Entry Type

New -	1363		0%	0%
State –		3800	-2%	-1%
Commonwealth -	795		-1%	-3%


Plan management type


Fully agency-managed -	3305	-2%	-2%
Plan-managed/ _ Agency-managed	1741	0%	0%
Fully self-managed - 📕 34	41	-1%	0%
Partly self-managed -	569	-2%	-1%

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 1st review - participant characteristics (continued)

Total r	espondents	% of families or carers who are able to identify the needs of their family and family member with disability and know how to access available services and supports to meet these needs	to advocate (stand up) for their family
Annualised cost of plan			
\$15,000 or less –	356	-4%	-3%
\$15-30,000 -	1036	-3%	-3%
\$30-50,000 -	1287	-1%	-2%
\$50-100,000 -	1843	-2%	-1%
Over \$100,000 -	1436	1%	1%
Plan cost allocation			
Capital 5-100% –		-2%	0%
Capacity Building 0-15% –	2244	-2%	-1%
Capacity Building 15-30% –	1200	0%	-2%
Capacity Building 30-60% –		-3%	-3%
Capacity Building 60-100% –	379	-1%	-3%
Plan utilisation			
below 20% -	906	-2%	-3%
20 - 40% -	1352	-3%	-2%
40 - 60% -	1597	-1%	-1%
60 - 80% -	1331	-1%	0%
80% and over -	770	0%	0%


Appendix C.4.2 - Rights and advocacy





Total respondents	% who have no difficulties understanding their rights and the rights of their family member with disability
Remoteness	
Major Cities - 174	6%
Regional - population _ 43	-2%
Regional - population - 71 between 15000 and 50000	-4%
Regional - population - 30 Between 5000 and 15000	3%
Regional - population less than 5000 & - Remote/Very Remote 36	-3%
Scheme Entry Type	
New & State - 279	1%
Commonwealth – 75	3%
Plan management type	
Fully agency-managed - 255	1%
Plan-managed/ - 58 Agency-managed	7%
Self-managed (fully or partly) -	-3%
Annualised cost of plan	
\$15,000 or less - 📕 26	0%
\$15-30,000 - 51	∎ 4%
\$30-50,000 - 48	0%
\$50-100,000 - 63	8%
Over \$100,000 – 166	-1%
Plan cost allocation	
Capital 5-100% - 66	8%
Capacity Building 0-15% - 144	0%
Capacity Building 15-30% – 45 Capacity Building 30-60% – 49	0%
Capacity Building 30-50% – 49 Capacity Building 60-100% – 43	0% 2%
	/0

Longitudinal change in indicators for LF from baseline to 1st review - participant characteristics (continued)




Appendix C.4.3 - Families feel supported

Total re	spondents	% of families or carers who have friends they can see as often as they'd like	% of families or carers who have people they can ask for practical help as often as they need	% of families or carers who have people they can ask to support their family member with disability as often as they need	to for emotional support as often as
Overall					
Overall –	5958	1%	0%	1%	2%
Relationship to participant					
Mother -	2755	1%	0%	0%	1%
Father –	552	0%	-1%	-2%	1%
Other –	2048	2%	0%	2%	3%
Age Group					
34 or younger –	1868	1%	1%	1%	1%
35 to 44 -	1320	1%	-1%	1%	2%
45 to 54 –	1282	2%	1%	1%	2%
55 or over –	1488	1%	0%	-1%	3%
Gender					
Female –	2541	2%	0%	0%	2%
Male –	3345	0%	1%	1%	2%

Total respondents	% of families or carers who have friends they can see as often as they'd like	% of families or carers who have a people they can ask for practical help as often as they need	to support their	to for emotional support as often as
Disability Type				
ABI/Stroke - 704	3%	3%	1%	5%
Autism - 460	0%	1%	0%	0%
Cerebral Palsy & _ 893 Other Neurological -	2%	2%	1%	2%
Intellectual Disability & _ 2363	2%	0%	1%	2%
Other - 1538	-1%	-1%	1%	1%
Level of function				
High – 598	1%	1%	2%	1%
Medium – 2979	1%	1%	1%	3%
Low – 2381	1%	0%	0%	1%
CALD Status				
CALD - 696	0%	-1%	0%	0%
Non-CALD - 5261	1 1%	0%	1%	2%
State/ Territory				
ACT - 30	∎ 5%	0%	9%	9%
NSW – 2527 NT – 132	1% ∎ 5%	I 1% ⊨0%	I 1% ∎ 5%	∎ 3% -5% ∎
QLD – 620	1%	1%	2%	1%
SA - 607	1%	1%	1%	0%
TAS – 169 VIC – 2043	∣0% ∣1%	-2% I -1% I	-2% I 0%	∎ 3% ∎ 2%
WA - 30	0%	0%	0%	-10% 🔳
Remoteness				
Major Cities - 3424	0%	-1%	0%	1%
Regional - population - 530 greater than 50000	0%	-2%	2%	1%
Regional - population – 836 between 15000 and 50000 –	3%	2%	2%	3%
Regional - population – 421 between 5000 and 15000 –	5%	2%	1%	5%
Regional - population – Film 671 less than 5000 – Film 671	2%	4%	2%	4%
Remote/Very Remote - 75	3%	2%	-2%	7%

Total respondents	% of families or carers who have friends they can see as often as they'd like	% of families or carers who have people they can ask for practical help as often as they need	% of families or carers who have people they can ask to support their family member with disability as often as they need	to for emotional support as often as
Scheme Access Criteria				
Benefit from EI – 94	8%	0%	3%	6%
Disability Met – 584.	2 1%	0%	1%	2%
Scheme Entry Type				
New - 1363	0%	-1%	0%	1%
State - 3800	1%	0%	1%	2%
Commonwealth - 795	2%	1%	1%	2%
Plan management type				
Fully agency-managed - 3305	1%	0%	0%	2%
Plan-managed/ - 1741 Agency-managed	2%	2%	1%	2%
Fully self-managed - 341	3%	1%	4%	4%
Partly self-managed - 569	0%	-1%	-1%	- 1%
A much set and a first set				
Annualised cost of plan \$15,000 or less - 356	-2%	-4%	-3%	0%
\$15-30,000 - 1036	-1%	-1%	-1%	-1%
\$30-50,000 – 1287	1%	-2%	0%	2%
\$50-100,000 - 1843	1%	1%	1%	2%
Over \$100,000 - 1436	3%	3%	3%	4%
Plan cost allocation				
Capital 5-100% – 1117	1%	0%	1%	1%
Capacity Building 0-15% – 2244	2%	2%	1%	3%
Capacity Building 15-30% - 1200	1%	0%	1%	2%
Capacity Building 30-60% - 1016	-2%	-3%	-2%	0%
Capacity Building 60-100% - 📕 379	4%	0%	2%	1%
Plan utilisation	1.007		40/	1.2%
below 20% - 906	0%	-1%	-1%	2%
20 - 40% - 1352	2%	1%	2%	3%
40 - 60% - 1597 60 - 80% - 1331	1% 2%	-1% 0%	0% 0%	1% 2%
80% and over - 770	∎ 2% 1%	2%	3%	2%
	1.170			


Longitudinal change in indicators for LF from baseline to 1st review - participant characteristics



Disability Type


ABI/Stroke -	32	-6%
Autism -	34	-15%
Cerebral Palsy & _ Other Neurological	60	3%
Intellectual Disability & _ Down Syndrome	1	68 4%
Multiple Sclerosis/ _ Spinal Cord Injury	24	-4%
Other -	36	-8%

Level of function



Longitudinal change in indicators for LF from baseline to 1st review - participant characteristics (continued)

Total respondents	% who have as much contact with other families of people with disability as they would like
State/ Territory	
ACT & NSW - 167	-2%
QLD & NT - 📕 27	-4%
SA - 77	1%
VIC & TAS - 83	2%
Remoteness	
Major Cities - 174	-1%



Scheme Entry Type

New & State -		279	-0%
Commonwealth -	75		-1%

Plan management type


Fully agency-managed -	255 -2%
Plan-managed/ - 58 Agency-managed	3%
Self-managed (fully or partly) - 🚺 34	6%

Annualised cost of plan


\$15,000 or less –	26	0%
\$15-30,000 -	51	-2%
\$30-50,000 -	48	0%
\$50-100,000 -	63	₿ 3%
Over \$100,000 -	166	-2%


Plan cost allocation Capital 5-100% 66 2% Capacity Building 0-15% 144 -6% 144 Capacity Building 15-30% 45 7% Capacity Building 30-60% 49 6% Capacity Building 60-100% 43 -2%

Longitudinal change in indicators for LF from baseline to 1st review - participant characteristics (continued)





Appendix C.4.4 - Access to services






Total	respondents	% of families or carers who feel that the services they use for their family member with disability listen to them	% of families or carers who feel in control selecting the services and supports for their family member with disability	% of families or carers who say that the services their family member with disability and their family receive meet their needs
Scheme Access Criteria				
Benefit from EI –	94	4%	3%	15%
Disability Met -		4%	0%	9%
Disability wet				5,0
Scheme Entry Type				
New -	1363	7%	1%	<mark> </mark> 11%
State -	3800	3%	0%	9%
Commonwealth -	795	2%	0%	6%
Plan management type				
Fully agency-managed =	3305	2%	-1%	8%
Plan-managed/ _ Agency-managed	1741	5%	1%	8%
Fully self-managed =	341	7%	5%	15%
Partly self-managed -	569	5%	3%	12%
Annualised cost of plan				
\$15,000 or less -	356	0%	-4%	2%
\$15-30,000 -	1036	2%	-2%	6%
\$30-50,000 -	1287	∎ 4%	0%	8%
	1843	∎ 4%	1%	9%
Over \$100,000 –	1436	5%	3%	12%
Plan cost allocation		5.29/	1.40/	- 00/
– Capital 5-100% – Capacity Building 0-15%		∎ 3% ∎ 4%	1% 0%	9% 11%
Capacity Building 15-30% -		∎ 4 %	3%	10%
Capacity Building 30-60% -		3%	-1%	5%
Capacity Building 60-100% –	379	-2%	-5% 📕	4%
Plan utilisation				
below 20% -		-3%	-2%	6%
	1352	5%	0%	8%
	1597	∎ 5% ∎ 4%	0% 2%	8%
- 80% - 80% = 80% and over -		4 % ■ 6 %	∎ 2% ∎ 3%	12% 9%
correction of the original of		= -,,,		







Longitudinal change in indicators for LF from baseline to 1st review - participant characteristics (continued)



Appendix C.4.5 - Families have succession plans



Appendix C.4.5 - Families have succession plans



Appendix C.4.5 - Families have succession plans

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 1st review - participant characteristics (continued)

Total respondents	% of families or carers who have made plans for when they are no longer able to care for their family member with disability	of those who made or have begun making plans, % of families or carers who have asked for help from service providers, professionals or support workers
-------------------	--	--

State/ Territory

ACT - 30		5%	
NSW-	2527	∥ 2%	∎ 3%
NT - 32		5%	
QLD - 620		1%	6%
SA - 607		3%	5%
TAS -1 69		3%	0%
VIC -	2043	1%	4%
WA -1 30		0%	

Remoteness

Major Cities –	3424	2%	4%
Regional - population - 530 greater than 50000 - 530		2%	5%
Regional - population - 836 between 15000 and 50000		3%	4%
Regional - population - 421 between 5000 and 15000		3%	4%
Regional - population - 671 less than 5000 - 671		1%	4%
Remote/Very Remote - 75		2%	

Scheme Access Criteria

Benefit from EI –	94		4%
Disability Met –		5842	2%

Scheme Entry Type

New – 1363		1%	7%
State -	3800	2%	3%
Commonwealth - 795		3%	5%

Plan management type


Fully agency-managed –	3305 2%	4%
Plan-managed/ - 1741 Agency-managed	2%	5%
Fully self-managed - 📕 341	0%	5%
Partly self-managed - 569	1%	3%

Appendix C.4.5 - Families have succession plans

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 1st review - participant characteristics (continued)

Total respondents	% of families or carers who have made plans for when they are no longer able to care for their family member with disability	families or carers
Annualised cost of plan		
\$15,000 or less - 356	1%	4%
\$15-30,000 - 1036	2%	3%
\$30-50,000 - 1287	2%	5%
\$50-100,000 - 1843	2%	3%
Over \$100,000 - 1436	 2%	4%
Plan cost allocation		
Capital 5-100% – 1117	1%	4%
Capacity Building 0-15% – 2244	2%	5%
Capacity Building 15-30% – 1200	2%	3%
Capacity Building 30-60% – 1016	1%	3%
Capacity Building 60-100% – 📕 379	3%	3%
Plan utilisation		
below 20% – 906	2%	4%
20 - 40% - 1352	2%	5%
40 - 60% - 1597	2%	2%
60 - 80% - 1331	2%	6%
80% and over – 770	2%	2%

Appendix C.4.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing




Total r	aspondents	% of families or carers who rate their health as excellent, very good or good	% of families or carers who feel their family member with disability gets the support they need	% of families or carers who provide informal care to their family member with disability and are able to work as much as they want	
Relationship to participant					
Mother –	2755	-4%	7%	3%	2%
Father –	552	-3%	10%	-1%	1%
Other -	2048	-3%	9%	1%	1%
Age Group					
34 or younger –	1868	-2%	9%	2%	2%
35 to 44 -	1320	-3%	7%	3%	2%
45 to 54 -	1282	-4%	6%	1%	1%
55 or over –	1488	-6% 📕	10%	1%	1%
Gender					
Female –	2541	-3%	9%	1%	1%
Male –	3345	-4%	8%	2%	2%
Disability Type	-				lav
ABI/Stroke –	704	-3%	10%	3%	1%
Autism –	460	-5%	8%	2%	2%
Cerebral Palsy & _ Other Neurological	893	-5%	10%	2%	1%
Intellectual Disability & _ Down Syndrome	2363	-4%	7%	1%	2%
Other –	1538	-4%	7%	3%	1%
Level of function					
High –	598	-2%	7%	5%	1%
Medium -	2979	-4%	8%	2%	2%
Low –	2381	-4%	8%	1%	1%
CALD Status					
CALD -	696	-3%	7%	-1%	0%
Non-CALD -	5261	-4%	8%	2%	2%
		-	-	1	

Total r	espondents	% of families or carers who rate their health as excellent, very good or good	% of families or carers who feel their family member with disability gets the support they need	% of families or carers who provide informal care to their family member with disability and are able to work as much as they want	
State/ Territory					
ACT –	30	-9%	14 %	5 %	
NSW – NT –	32	-3% ∎ ⊨0%	■ 9% ■ 5%	I 2% ■ 5%	1%
QLD –	620	-5%	15%	2%	3%
SA –	607	-5% 🛛	5 %	-1%	1%
TAS – VIC –	2043	2% -4% ∎	■ 11% ■ 7%	3% 2%	0%
WA –	30	-25%	-10%	2%	 2%
Remoteness					
Major Cities –	3424	-4%	7%	2%	2%
Regional - population _ greater than 50000	530	-5%	12%	0%	2%
Regional - population _ between 15000 and 50000	836	-3%	12%	4%	2%
Regional - population _ between 5000 and 15000	421	-3%	7%	-1%	1%
Regional - population _ less than 5000	671	-5%	7%	2%	0%
Remote/Very Remote -	75	0%	0%	0%	0%
Scheme Access Criteria					
Benefit from EI -	1 94	-1%	17%	4%	6%
					-
Disability Met –	5842	-4%	8%	2%	1%
Scheme Entry Type					
New -	1363	-3%	8%	2%	1%
State -	3800	-4%	9%	1%	2%
Commonwealth -	795	-3%	5%	3%	1%
				•	1
Plan management type					
Fully agency-managed -	3305	-4%	6%	1%	2%
Plan-managed/ _ Agency-managed	1741	-4%	11%	2%	0%
Fully self-managed –	341	-1%	11%	1%	3%
Partly self-managed -	569	-3%	12%	3%	1%

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 1st review - participant characteristics (continued)

Total re	aspondents	% of families or carers who rate their health as excellent, very good or good	% of families or carers who feel their family member with disability gets the support they need	% of families or carers who provide informal care to their family member with disability and are able to work as much as they want	of those unable to work as much as they want, % of families or carers who say the situation with their family member with disability is a barrier to working more
Annualised cost of plan					
\$15,000 or less -	356	-7%	-3%	2%	2%
\$15-30,000 -	1036	-5%	4%	2%	2%
\$30-50,000 -	1287	-5%	8%	1%	2%
\$50-100,000 -	1843	-3%	10%	1%	1%
Over \$100,000 -	1436	-2%	12%	3%	1%
Plan cost allocation Capital 5-100% – Capacity Building 0-15% – Capacity Building 15-30% – Capacity Building 30-60% – Capacity Building 60-100% –		-5% -3% -2% -6%	■ 7% ■ 10% ■ 10% ■ 6% -1%	0% 1% 3% 3% 1%	1% 2% 1% 0% 8%
Plan utilisation					
below 20% -	906	-5%	1%	2%	2%
20 - 40% -	1352	-3%	9%	2%	1%
40 - 60% -	1597	-4%	9%	0%	0%
60 - 80% -	1331	-3%	11%	3%	2%
80% and over –	770	-6% 📕	9%	1%	3%

Appendix C.4.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing



Total respondents	of those unable to work as much as they want, % of families or carers who say availability of jobs is a barrier to working more	of those unable to work as much as they want, % of families or carers who say insufficient flexibility of jobs is a barrier to working more
Relationship to participant		
Mother – 2755	0%	1%
Father – 552	1%	2%
Other – 2048	2%	3%
Age Group		_
34 or younger – 1868	1%	2%
35 to 44 - 1320 45 to 54 - 1282	1% 1%	2% 4%
55 or over – 1488	2%	3%
Gender		
Female – 2541	1%	2%
Male - 3345	1%	2%
Disability Type		
ABI/Stroke - 704	3%	3%
Autism – 460	3%	2%
Cerebral Palsy & – 893 Other Neurological	2%	2%
Intellectual Disability & _ 2363 Down Syndrome	-1%	3%
Other - 1538	2%	2%
Level of function		
High – 598	4%	1%
Medium – 2979	1%	3%
Low - 2381	1%	2%
CALD Status		
CALD - 696	1%	3%
Non-CALD - 5261	1%	2%

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 1st review - participant characteristics (continued)

Total respondents	of those unable to work as much as they want, % of families or carers who say availability of jobs is a barrier to working more	of those unable to work as much as they want, % of families or carers who say insufficient flexibility of jobs is a barrier to working more
-------------------	---	--

State/ Territory

ACT - 30			
NSW-	2527	I 1%	∎ 3%
NT - 32			
QLD - 620		0%	0%
SA - 607		0%	4%
TAS -1 69		5%	0%
VIC -	2043	1%	2%
WA -1 30			

Remoteness

Major Cities -	3424 2%	3%
Regional - population - 530 greater than 50000 - 530	-2%	-1%
Regional - population - 836 between 15000 and 50000	0%	2%
Regional - population - 421 between 5000 and 15000 -	0%	3%
Regional - population - 671 less than 5000 - 671	3%	1%
Remote/Very Remote - 75	8%	0%

Scheme Access Criteria

Benefit from EI - 94	6%	6%
Disability Met –	5842 1%	2%

Scheme Entry Type

New - 1363		2%	2%
State –	3800	1%	3%
Commonwealth - 795		2%	2%

Plan management type


Fully agency-managed –	3305 1%	2%
Plan-managed/ Agency-managed	1%	2%
Fully self-managed - 📕 341	1%	5%
Partly self-managed - 569	2%	3%

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 1st review - participant characteristics (continued)

Total respondents	of those unable to work as much as they want, % of families or carers who say availability of jobs is a barrier to working more	of those unable to work as much as they want, % of families or carers who say insufficient flexibility of jobs is a barrier to working more
Annualised cost of plan		
\$15,000 or less – 356	5%	2%
\$15-30,000 - 1036	∎ 4%	5%
\$30-50,000 - 1287	3%	2%
\$50-100,000 - 1843	0%	2%
Over \$100,000 - 1436	0%	2%
Plan cost allocation Capital 5-100% – 1117 Capacity Building 0-15% – 2244 Capacity Building 15-30% – 1200 Capacity Building 30-60% – 1016 Capacity Building 60-100% – 379	■ 3% 0% 0% ■ 3% ■ 4%	3% 3% 0% 2% 4%
Plan utilisation		
below 20% – 906	2%	3%
20 - 40% - 1352	1%	3%
40 - 60% - 1597	0%	1%
60 - 80% - 1331	1%	1%
80% and over – 770	∎ 4%	4%


Appendix C.4.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing

	Total respondents		thinking about what happened last year, and what they expect for the future, % who are delighted, pleased or mostly satisfied	strongly disagree that having a family member with a	% who strongly agree or agree that they feel more confident about the future of their family with disability under the NDIS	
Overall						
	Overall -	354	12%	3%	21%	10%



Appendix C.4.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing

Longitudinal change in indicators for LF from baseline to 1st review - participant characteristics (continued)




Appendix C.4.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing

Longitudinal change in indicators for LF from baseline to 1st review - participant characteristics (continued)

Total respondents	thinking about what happened last year, and what they expect for the future, % who are delighted, pleased or mostly satisfied	strongly disagree that having a family member with a	% who strongly agree or agree that they feel more confident about the future of their family with disability under the NDIS	•
Plan utilisation				
below 20% – 🗾 25	32%	4%	8%	16%
20 - 40% - 🚺 40	3%	13%	35%	15%
40 - 60% - 58	17%	0%	26%	26%
60 - 80% - 92	20%	5%	18%	5%
80% and over – 131	4%	0%	21%	5%


Appendix C.5 - Longitudinal indicators for SF and LF from baseline to 2nd review - aggregate




Appendix C.5.1 - Government benefits

Appendix C.5.2 - Rights and advocacy

I am able to identify the needs of my family member with disability and my family and know how to access available services and supports to meet those needs




I am able to advocate (stand up) for my family member: I am able to speak up if we have issues or problems with accessing supports





91 responses, 0 missing at 2nd review


I understand my rights and the rights of my family member with disability




Appendix C.5.3 - Families feel supported









I have people who I can ask for emotional support as often as I need




81 responses, 0 missing at 1st review 91 responses, 0 missing at 2nd review

I have people who I can ask to support my family member with disability as often as I need









Appendix C.5.4 - Access to services

I feel that the services my family member with disability and my family use listen to me




I feel in control in selecting services and supports that meet the needs of my family member with disability and my family





87 responses, 4 missing at 2nd review


I work in partnership with professionals and service providers to meet the needs of my family member with disability




The services my family member with disability and my family receive meet our needs








Appendix C.5.5 - Families have succession plans




Appendix C.5.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing


In general, my health is



I feel that having a family member with a disability has made it more difficult for me to meet the everyday costs of living




Thinking about what happened last year and what I expect for the future, I feel





33 responses, 0 missing at 2nd review

Appendix C.5.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing (continued)




I feel more confident about the future of my family member with disability under the NDIS






80 responses, 1 missing at 1st review 89 responses, 2 missing at 2nd review

Family who provide informal care to my family member with disability are able to work as much as they want




74 responses, 7 missing at 1st review 80 responses, 11 missing at 2nd review

I feel that the services and supports have helped me to better care for my family member with disability








The barriers to working more are (choose one

Appendix C.6 - Longitudinal change in indicators for SF and LF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics


Appendix C.6.1 - Government benefits

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics




Appendix C.6.1 - Government benefits

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics (continued)




Appendix C.6.2 - Rights and advocacy

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics




Appendix C.6.2 - Rights and advocacy

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics (continued)



Appendix C.6.2 - Rights and advocacy

Longitudinal change in indicators for LF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics



Appendix C.6.3 - Families feel supported

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics

Totalı	respondents	% of families or carers who have friends they can see as often as they'd like	% of families or carers who have people they can ask for practical help as often as they need	% of families or carers who have people they can ask to support their family member with disability as often as they need	
Overall					
Overall -	130	0 -3%	2%	-3%	7%
Relationship to participant					
Mother -	49	-9%	9%	2%	0%
Father & Other -	60	2%	-4%	-9%	13%
Age Group		_			-
34 or younger –	39	-19%	0%	0%	8%
35 to 44 –	32	-14%	-5%	-5%	-10%
45 and over –	59	11%	7%	-5%	14%
Gender					
Female –	51	-6%	6%	0%	6%
Male –	79	-2%	0%	-5%	7%


Appendix C.6.3 - Families feel supported

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics (continued)

Total r	espondents	% of families or carers who have friends they can see as often as they'd like	% of families or carers who have people they can ask for practical help as often as they need	% of families or carers who have people they can ask to support their family member with disability as often as they need	to for emotional support as often as
Disability Type					
Intellectual Disability & _ Down Syndrome	48	-3%	3%	-6%	-3%
Other -	82	-4%	2%	-2%	12%
Level of function					
High/Medium –	70	-2%	7%	-2%	9%
Low –	60	-4%	-2%	-4%	4%
State/ Territory					
ACT & NSW -	77	-2%	0%	-8%	4%
QLD & WA & VIC & TAS -	53	-5%	5%	3%	11%
Remoteness					
Major Cities -	76	-14%	-4%	-10%	4%
Regional & _ Remote	54	10%	10%	5%	10%
Scheme Entry Type					
New -	46	-9%	3%	-6%	9%
State & _ Commonwealth	84	0%	2%	-2%	5%
Plan management type					
Fully agency-managed -	71	-4%	-2%	-6%	4%
Plan-managed/ _ Agency-managed	30	-5%	5%	-5%	10%
Self-managed -	29	0%	9%	5%	9%


Appendix C.6.3 - Families feel supported

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics (continued)




Appendix C.6.3 - Families feel supported

Longitudinal change in indicators for LF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics




Appendix C.6.4 - Access to services

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics




Appendix C.6.4 - Access to services

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics (continued)




Appendix C.6.4 - Access to services

Longitudinal change in indicators for LF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics




Appendix C.6.5 - Families have succession plans

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics




Appendix C.6.5 - Families have succession plans

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics (continued)




Appendix C.6.5 - Families have succession plans

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics (continued)




Appendix C.6.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics




Appendix C.6.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics (continued)




Appendix C.6.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics (continued)



Appendix C.6.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing

Longitudinal change in indicators for SF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics (continued)




Appendix C.6.6 - Families enjoy health and wellbeing

Longitudinal change in indicators for LF from baseline to 2nd review - participant characteristics

	Total respondents	thinking about what happened last year, and what they expect for the future, % who are delighted, pleased or mostly satisfied	strongly disagree that having a family member with a	% who strongly agree or agree that they feel more confident about the future of their family with disability under the NDIS	
Overall					
Overall –	3	i0 1 0%	7%	0%	27%

Appendix C.7 - Has the NDIS helped? questions


Aggregate summary at 1st review




Has the NDIS helped?


Appendix C.8 - Has the NDIS helped? indicators for SF and LF at 1st review - participant characteristics

Appendix C.8.1 - All domains








Has The NDIS Helped? indicators for SF at 1st review - participant characteristics (continued)




Appendix C.8.1 - All domains








Has The NDIS Helped? indicators for SF at 1st review - participant characteristics (continued)



Appendix C.8.1 - All domains

Total respondents	% who strongly agree or agree that they feel more confident about the future of their family with disability under the NDIS
Overall	
Overall – 386	56%
Relationship to participant	
Mother – 256	52%
Father - 42	67%
Other - 88	64%
Age Group	
34 or younger – 137	56%
35 to 44 – 108	51%
45 to 54 – 73	58%
55 or over – 68	62%
Gender	
Female – 150	51%
Male - 230	60%



Has The NDIS Helped? indicators for LF at 1st review - participant characteristics (continued)

Total respondents		% who strongly agree or agree that they feel more confident about the future of their family with disability under the NDIS
Plan management type		
Fully agency-managed –	274	53%
Plan-managed/ - 62 Agency-managed		56%
Self-managed (fully or partly) - 43		74%
Annualised cost of plan \$15,000 or less - 28 \$15-30,000 - 57 \$30-50,000 - 56 \$50-100,000 - 76 Over \$100,000 - 165)	54% 60% 55% 55% 56%
Plan cost allocation Capital 5-100% – 75 Capacity Building 0-15% – 149 Capacity Building 15-30% – 50 Capacity Building 30-60% – 62 Capacity Building 60-100% – 43		51% 58% 50% 65% 56%


Plan utilisation

29	below 20% -
44	20 - 40% -
69	40 - 60% -
100	60 - 80% -
136	80% and over -

38%
66%
62%
50%
58%

Appendix C.9 - Has the NDIS helped? questions

Aggregate summary at 2nd review





Has the NDIS helped?

Appendix C.10 - Has the NDIS helped? indicators for SF and LF at 2nd review - participant characteristics


Appendix C.10.1 - All domains

Has The NDIS Helped? indicators for SF at 2nd review - participant characteristics







Has The NDIS Helped? indicators for SF at 2nd review - participant characteristics (continued)




Appendix C.10.1 - All domains





Has The NDIS Helped? indicators for SF at 2nd review - participant characteristics (continued)





Appendix C.10.1 - All domains

Has The NDIS Helped? indicators for LF at 2nd review - participant characteristics

	Total respondents		% who strongly agree or agree that they feel more confident about the future of their family with disability under the NDIS
Overall			
Overall –		33	58%