



Participant Category Detailed Dashboard as at 31 December 2019 (exposure period: 1 April 2019 to 30 September 2019)

LGA: Mitchell (S) | Support Category: All | All Participants

Participant profile



Service provider indicators



Plan utilisation



## LGA: Mitchell (S) | Support Category: All | All Participants

**Outcomes indicator on choice and control**

**by age group**

Age Group	Mitchell (S)	VIC
0 to 6	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
7 to 14	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
15 to 24	35%	30%
25 plus	60%	55%

**by primary disability**

Primary Disability	Mitchell (S)	VIC
Autism	35%	25%
Developmental Delay and Global Developmental Delay	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Intellectual Disability and Down Syndrome	35%	25%
Psychosocial disability	65%	60%
Other disabilities	65%	60%

**by level of function**

Level of Function	Mitchell (S)	VIC
High	65%	70%
Medium	55%	55%
Low	35%	25%

**by remoteness rating**

Remoteness Rating	Mitchell (S)	VIC
Major Cities	55%	45%
Regional	45%	45%
Remote/Very remote	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

**by Indigenous status**

Indigenous Status	Mitchell (S)	VIC
Indigenous	55%	50%
Non-Indigenous	50%	50%
Not stated	65%	55%
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

**by CALD status**

CALD Status	Mitchell (S)	VIC
CALD	55%	50%
Non-CALD	50%	50%
Not stated	65%	65%
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

**Has the NDIS helped you have more choices and more control over your life?**

**by age group**

Age Group	Mitchell (S)	VIC
0 to 6	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
7 to 14	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
15 to 24	45%	55%
25 plus	45%	65%

**by primary disability**

Primary Disability	Mitchell (S)	VIC
Autism	10 or fewer participants	60%
Developmental Delay and Global Developmental Delay	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Intellectual Disability and Down Syndrome	45%	60%
Psychosocial disability	10 or fewer participants	60%
Other disabilities	45%	60%

**by level of function**

Level of Function	Mitchell (S)	VIC
High	10 or fewer participants	60%
Medium	45%	60%
Low	45%	60%

**by remoteness rating**

Remoteness Rating	Mitchell (S)	VIC
Major Cities	10 or fewer participants	55%
Regional	50%	55%
Remote/Very remote	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

**by Indigenous status**

Indigenous Status	Mitchell (S)	VIC
Indigenous	55%	50%
Non-Indigenous	45%	65%
Not stated	65%	65%
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

**by CALD status**

CALD Status	Mitchell (S)	VIC
CALD	55%	60%
Non-CALD	45%	65%
Not stated	65%	65%
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

**Proportion of participants who reported that they choose who supports them**

Group	Proportion
Mitchell (S)	53%
Victoria	50%
Relative to state average	1.05x

**Proportion of participants who reported that the NDIS has helped with choice and control**

Group	Proportion
Mitchell (S)	47%
Victoria	64%
Relative to state average	0.74x

**This panel shows the proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them**

**This panel shows the proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control**

Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Average plan budget (\$)	Payments (\$m)	Average payments (\$)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core										
Consumables	401	31	12.9	0.29	720	0.09	233	32%	52%	47%
Daily Activities	342	32	10.7	3.83	11,211	2.46	7,179	64%	50%	46%
Community	352	33	10.7	2.47	7,022	0.78	2,205	31%	50%	45%
Transport	196	8	24.5	0.32	1,632	0.30	1,511	93%	41%	48%
Core total	446	63	7.1	6.91	15,504	3.62	8,119	52%	52%	47%
Capacity Building										
Daily Activities	514	62	8.3	1.71	3,330	0.54	1,051	32%	52%	47%
Employment	43	4	10.8	0.23	5,414	0.13	2,917	54%	47%	10 or fewer participants
Social and Civic	63	4	15.8	0.12	1,884	0.02	331	18%	39%	10 or fewer participants
Support Coordination	169	42	4.0	0.33	1,938	0.18	1,089	56%	50%	46%
Capacity Building total	523	99	5.3	2.67	5,110	1.04	1,996	39%	53%	47%
Capital										
Assistive Technology	83	16	5.2	0.28	3,348	0.13	1,531	46%	59%	50%
Home Modifications	24	2	12.0	0.04	1,642	0.02	1,021	62%	36%	10 or fewer participants
Capital total	90	16	5.6	0.32	3,525	0.15	1,684	48%	56%	53%
All support categories	528	132	4.0	9.93	18,812	4.85	9,177	49%	53%	47%

Note: Only the major support categories are shown.

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the LGA / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the LGA / support category, over the exposure period
Participants per provider	Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers
Total plan budgets	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period
Payments	Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC))
Utilisation	Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them
Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control