Participant Category Detailed Dashboard as at 31 December 2019 (exposure period: 1 April 2019 to 30 September 2019)

LGA: George Town (M) | Support Category: All | All Participants

Participant profile

Distribution of active participants with an approved plan



Active participants with an approved plan	
George Town (M)	98
Tasmania	6,980
Australia	311,777

This panel shows the distribution of active participants with an approved plan who have each participant characteristic. The figures shown are based on the number of participants as at the end of the exposure period

Service provider indicators

Number of registered and active providers that provided supports in a category



Registered active service providers	
George Town (M)	61
Tasmania	540
Australia	10,817

This panel shows the number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant with each participant characteristic, over the exposure period

Average number of participants per provider



Participants per provider	
George Town (M)	1.6
Tasmania	12.9
Australia	28.8

This panel shows the ratio between the number of active participants, and the number of registered service providers that provided a support, over the exposure period

Plan utilisation

Payments and total plan budget not utilised ($m)



Total plan budgets ($m)	
George Town (M)	1.89
Tasmania	265.52

This panel shows the total value of payments over the exposure period, which includes payments to providers, participants and off-system (in-kind and YPIRAC). Total plan budgets for the exposure period that has not been utilised is also shown

Plan utilisation



Plan utilisation	
George Town (M)	45%
Tasmania	72%
Relative to state average	*0.63x*

This panel shows plan utilisation over the exposure period, which includes payments to providers, participants and off-system (in-kind and YPIRAC)

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations

Participant Category Detailed Dashboard as at 31 December 2019 (exposure period: 1 April 2019 to 30 September 2019)

LGA: George Town (M) | Support Category: All | All Participants

Outcomes framework

Outcomes indicator on choice and control



Proportion of participants who reported that they choose who supports them	
George Town (M)	33%
Tasmania	51%
Relative to state average	*0.65x*

This panel shows the proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them

Has the NDIS helped you have more choices and more control over your life?



Proportion of participants who reported that the NDIS has helped with choice and control	
George Town (M)	67%
Tasmania	65%
Relative to state average	*1.02x*

This panel shows the proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control

Support category summary

Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Total plan budgets ($m)	Average plan budget ($)	Payments ($m)	Average payments ($)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core										
Consumables	78	14	5.6	0.05	676	0.02	264	39%	32%	73%
Daily Activities	63	13	4.8	0.61	9,619	0.28	4,399	46%	31%	10 or fewer participants
Community	69	20	3.5	0.60	8,713	0.27	3,864	44%	27%	69%
Transport	31	4	7.8	0.04	1,287	0.03	1,103	86%	33%	73%
Core total	**91**	**33**	**2.8**	**1.30**	**14,283**	**0.60**	**6,578**	**46%**	**31%**	**67%**
Capacity Building										
Daily Activities	94	24	3.9	0.33	3,479	0.13	1,431	41%	34%	67%
Employment	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Social and Civic	24	4	6.0	0.09	3,546	0.02	678	19%	36%	10 or fewer participants
Support Coordination	38	14	2.7	0.05	1,249	0.04	1,047	84%	33%	10 or fewer participants
Capacity Building total	**95**	**40**	**2.4**	**0.53**	**5,556**	**0.23**	**2,370**	**43%**	**33%**	**67%**
Capital										
Assistive Technology	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Home Modifications	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Capital total	**13**	**5**	**2.6**	**0.07**	**5,140**	**0.03**	**2,174**	**42%**	**10 or fewer participants**	**10 or fewer participants**
All support categories	**98**	**61**	**1.6**	**1.89**	**19,331**	**0.86**	**8,781**	**45%**	**33%**	**67%**

Note: Only the major support categories are shown.
Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions

Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the LGA / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the LGA / support category, over the exposure period
Participants per provider	Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers
Total plan budgets	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period
Payments	Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC))
Utilisation	Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them
Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control