Participant Category Detailed Dashboard as at 31 December 2019 (exposure period: 1 April 2019 to 30 September 2019)

LGA: Wakefield (DC) | Support Category: All | All Participants

Participant profile



Active participants with an approved plan	
Wakefield (DC)	119
South Australia	29,414
Australia	311,777

This panel shows the distribution of active participants with an approved plan who have each participant characteristic. The figures shown are based on the number of participants as at the end of the exposure period

Service provider indicators



Registered active service providers	
Wakefield (DC)	60
South Australia	991
Australia	10,817

This panel shows the number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant with each participant characteristic, over the exposure period

Participants per provider	
Wakefield (DC)	2.0
South Australia	29.7
Australia	28.8

This panel shows the ratio between the number of active participants, and the number of registered service providers that provided a support, over the exposure period

Plan utilisation



Total plan budgets ($m)	
Wakefield (DC)	2.04
South Australia	890.13

This panel shows the total value of payments over the exposure period, which includes payments to providers, participants and off-system (in-kind and YPIRAC). Total plan budgets for the exposure period that has not been utilised is also shown

Plan utilisation	
Wakefield (DC)	51%
South Australia	67%
Relative to state average	*0.76x*

This panel shows plan utilisation over the exposure period, which includes payments to providers, participants and off-system (in-kind and YPIRAC)

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations

Participant Category Detailed Dashboard as at 31 December 2019 (exposure period: 1 April 2019 to 30 September 2019)

LGA: Wakefield (DC) | Support Category: All | All Participants

Outcomes framework

Outcomes indicator on choice and control



Proportion of participants who reported that they choose who supports them	
Wakefield (DC)	76%
South Australia	53%
Relative to state average	*1.42x*

This panel shows the proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them

Has the NDIS helped you have more choices and more control over your life?



Proportion of participants who reported that the NDIS has helped with choice and control	
Wakefield (DC)	40%
South Australia	62%
Relative to state average	*0.64x*

This panel shows the proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control

Support category summary

Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Total plan budgets ($m)	Average plan budget ($)	Payments ($m)	Average payments ($)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core										
Consumables	98	13	7.5	0.10	1,014	0.04	381	38%	78%	39%
Daily Activities	89	16	5.6	0.75	8,387	0.34	3,820	46%	78%	42%
Community	87	13	6.7	0.34	3,851	0.08	920	24%	76%	42%
Transport	27	3	9.0	0.04	1,530	0.05	1,828	120%	71%	25%
Core total	**104**	**31**	**3.4**	**1.22**	**11,752**	**0.51**	**4,872**	**41%**	**78%**	**40%**
Capacity Building										
Daily Activities	116	33	3.5	0.52	4,470	0.32	2,782	62%	75%	42%
Employment	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Social and Civic	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Support Coordination	41	6	6.8	0.06	1,352	0.01	292	22%	73%	42%
Capacity Building total	**118**	**37**	**3.2**	**0.67**	**5,691**	**0.38**	**3,188**	**56%**	**76%**	**42%**
Capital										
Assistive Technology	28	11	2.5	0.10	3,641	0.09	3,166	87%	83%	10 or fewer participants
Home Modifications	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Capital total	**28**	**11**	**2.5**	**0.10**	**3,677**	**0.09**	**3,166**	**86%**	**83%**	**10 or fewer participants**
All support categories	**119**	**60**	**2.0**	**2.04**	**17,131**	**1.03**	**8,670**	**51%**	**76%**	**40%**

Note: Only the major support categories are shown.
Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions

Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the LGA / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the LGA / support category, over the exposure period
Participants per provider	Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers
Total plan budgets	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period
Payments	Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC))
Utilisation	Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them
Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control