

Distribution of active participants with an approved plan by age group

Age Group	Blue Mountains (C)	New South Wales
0 to 6	~15%	~12%
7 to 14	~32%	~25%
15 to 24	~18%	~18%
25 plus	~40%	~45%

Distribution of active participants with an approved plan by primary disability

Primary Disability	Blue Mountains (C)	New South Wales
Autism	~45%	~30%
Developmental Delay and Global Developmental Delay	~5%	~5%
Intellectual Disability and Down Syndrome	~15%	~20%
Psychosocial disability	~5%	~5%
Other disabilities	~20%	~25%

Distribution of active participants with an approved plan by level of function

Level of Function	Blue Mountains (C)	New South Wales
High	~30%	~25%
Medium	~45%	~40%
Low	~20%	~25%

Distribution of active participants with an approved plan by remoteness rating

Remoteness Rating	Blue Mountains (C)	New South Wales
Major Cities	~85%	~65%
Regional	~10%	~20%
Remote/Very remote	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

Distribution of active participants with an approved plan by Indigenous status

Indigenous Status	Blue Mountains (C)	New South Wales
Indigenous	~5%	~5%
Non-Indigenous	~90%	~90%
Not stated	~5%	~5%
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

Distribution of active participants with an approved plan by CALD status

CALD Status	Blue Mountains (C)	New South Wales
CALD	~5%	~10%
Non-CALD	~95%	~90%
Not stated	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

Active participants with an approved plan

Blue Mountains (C)	1,475
New South Wales	106,812
Australia	311,777

This panel shows the distribution of active participants with an approved plan who have each participant characteristic. The figures shown are based on the number of participants as at the end of the exposure period.

Number of registered and active providers that provided supports in a category by age group

Age Group	Blue Mountains (C)
0 to 6	~60
7 to 14	~120
15 to 24	~110
25 plus	~240

Number of registered and active providers that provided supports in a category by primary disability

Primary Disability	Blue Mountains (C)
Autism	~150
Developmental Delay and Global Developmental Delay	~20
Intellectual Disability and Down Syndrome	~120
Psychosocial disability	~40
Other disabilities	~180

Number of registered and active providers that provided supports in a category by level of function

Level of Function	Blue Mountains (C)
High	~110
Medium	~220
Low	~210

Number of registered and active providers that provided supports in a category by remoteness rating

Remoteness Rating	Blue Mountains (C)
Major Cities	~310
Regional	~80
Remote/Very remote	10 or fewer participants
Missing	10 or fewer participants

Number of registered and active providers that provided supports in a category by Indigenous status

Indigenous Status	Blue Mountains (C)
Indigenous	~80
Non-Indigenous	~320
Not stated	~60
Missing	10 or fewer participants

Number of registered and active providers that provided supports in a category by CALD status

CALD Status	Blue Mountains (C)
CALD	~60
Non-CALD	~340
Not stated	10 or fewer participants
Missing	10 or fewer participants

Registered active service providers

Region	Registered active service providers
Blue Mountains (C)	342
New South Wales	4,574
Australia	10,817

Panel Description: This panel shows the number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant with each participant characteristic, over the exposure period.

Payments and total plan budget not utilised (\$m) by age group

Age Group	Total payments (\$m)	Plan budget not utilised (\$m)
0 to 6	~1	~1
7 to 14	~2	~2
15 to 24	~3	~3
25 plus	~30	~10

Payments and total plan budget not utilised (\$m) by primary disability

Primary Disability	Total payments (\$m)	Plan budget not utilised (\$m)
Autism	~8	~2
Developmental Delay and Global Developmental Delay	~1	~1
Intellectual Disability and Down Syndrome	~10	~2
Psychosocial disability	~2	~1
Other disabilities	~10	~5

Payments and total plan budget not utilised (\$m) by level of function

Level of Function	Total payments (\$m)	Plan budget not utilised (\$m)
High	~2	~1
Medium	~12	~3
Low	~18	~5

Payments and total plan budget not utilised (\$m) by remoteness rating

Remoteness Rating	Total payments (\$m)	Plan budget not utilised (\$m)
Major Cities	~25	~5
Regional	~1	~1
Remote/Very remote	~1	~1
Missing	~1	~1

Payments and total plan budget not utilised (\$m) by Indigenous status

Indigenous Status	Total payments (\$m)	Plan budget not utilised (\$m)
Indigenous	~1	~1
Non-Indigenous	~30	~10
Not stated	~1	~1
Missing	~1	~1

Payments and total plan budget not utilised (\$m) by CALD status

CALD Status	Total payments (\$m)	Plan budget not utilised (\$m)
CALD	~1	~1
Non-CALD	~30	~10
Not stated	~1	~1
Missing	~1	~1

Total plan budgets (\$m)

Region	Total plan budgets (\$m)
Blue Mountains (C)	44.34
New South Wales	3,399.27

Plan utilisation

Region	Plan utilisation
Blue Mountains (C)	73%
New South Wales	74%

Relative to state average

Region	Relative to state average
Blue Mountains (C)	0.99x

Plan utilisation

Region	Plan utilisation
Blue Mountains (C)	73%
New South Wales	74%

Relative to state average

Region	Relative to state average
Blue Mountains (C)	0.99x

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations

Participant Category Detailed Dashboard as at 31 December 2019 (exposure period: 1 April 2019 to 30 September 2019)

LGA: Blue Mountains (C) | Support Category: All | All Participants

Outcomes framework



Support category summary

Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Average plan budget (\$)	Payments (\$m)	Average payments (\$)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core										
Consumables	905	80	11.3	0.98	1,081	0.45	492	46%	50%	73%
Daily Activities	840	82	10.2	23.24	27,666	18.82	22,410	81%	48%	74%
Community	865	92	9.4	8.25	9,538	5.07	5,863	61%	46%	73%
Transport	589	1	589.0	1.23	2,096	1.30	2,213	106%	43%	77%
Core total	1,082	171	6.3	33.70	31,148	25.65	23,702	76%	49%	73%
Capacity Building										
Daily Activities	1,421	170	8.4	6.01	4,231	3.70	2,602	61%	49%	73%
Employment	126	20	6.3	0.74	5,853	0.56	4,440	76%	40%	70%
Social and Civic	205	27	7.6	0.42	2,051	0.16	790	39%	40%	70%
Support Coordination	486	68	7.1	0.84	1,732	0.58	1,195	69%	39%	74%
Capacity Building total	1,452	221	6.6	8.84	6,087	5.54	3,815	63%	49%	72%
Capital										
Assistive Technology	285	51	5.6	1.24	4,334	0.89	3,139	72%	54%	68%
Home Modifications	163	18	9.1	0.56	3,444	0.31	1,903	55%	38%	75%
Capital total	367	67	5.5	1.80	4,895	1.20	3,282	67%	49%	72%
All support categories	1,475	342	4.3	44.34	30,059	32.40	21,966	73%	50%	72%

Note: Only the major support categories are shown.
Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the LGA / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the LGA / support category, over the exposure period
Participants per provider	Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers
Total plan budgets	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period
Payments	Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC))
Utilisation	Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them
Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control